

03/15/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 1 of 12**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
HUNTINGTON PARK	\$1,004,159	\$1,004,159	100.00
TULARE COUNTY	\$663,960	\$663,960	100.00
REEDLEY	\$435,638	\$435,638	100.00
SAN BUENAVENTURA	\$362,255	\$362,255	100.00
SAN CLEMENTE	\$249,681	\$249,681	100.00
FORT BRAGG	\$220,583	\$220,583	100.00
LOMPOC	\$174,467	\$174,467	100.00
LA PUENTE	\$169,428	\$169,428	100.00
CARLSBAD	\$113,034	\$113,034	100.00
MARYSVILLE	\$112,381	\$112,381	100.00
RIO VISTA	\$107,928	\$107,928	100.00
TRUCKEE	\$104,660	\$104,660	100.00
ARCADIA	\$96,061	\$96,061	100.00
SAN JOAQUIN CITY	\$71,127	\$71,127	100.00
SAN GABRIEL	\$58,079	\$58,079	100.00
CALIMESA	\$53,634	\$53,634	100.00
TWENTYNINE PALMS	\$30,580	\$30,580	100.00
ATASCADERO	\$22,232	\$22,232	100.00
BUELLTON	\$21,159	\$21,159	100.00
FARMERSVILLE	\$14,222	\$14,222	100.00
ARTESIA	\$5,514	\$5,514	100.00
KINGSBURG	\$2,715	\$2,715	100.00
WASCO	\$1,759	\$1,759	100.00
TIBURON	\$1,000	\$1,000	100.00
SOLANA BEACH	\$672	\$672	100.00
CRESCENT CITY	\$640	\$640	100.00
ADELANTO	\$351	\$351	100.00
PATTERSON	\$342	\$342	100.00
MENDOTA	\$335	\$335	100.00
WATERFORD	\$169	\$169	100.00
LAKEPORT	\$546,022	\$545,572	99.92

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
MISSION VIEJO	\$81,706	\$78,592	96.19
FULLERTON	\$2,026,702	\$1,930,489	95.25
GALT	\$377,551	\$353,341	93.59
CORCORAN	\$339,089	\$316,663	93.39
HOLLISTER	\$2,584,494	\$2,381,076	92.13
OXNARD	\$863,109	\$775,798	89.88
YUCAIPA	\$104,271	\$93,139	89.32
OJAI	\$136,582	\$121,582	89.02
BRAWLEY	\$183,602	\$153,563	83.64
KERMAN	\$71,204	\$59,089	82.99
DELANO	\$313,704	\$255,894	81.57
SIERRA MADRE	\$164,567	\$126,978	77.16
YORBA LINDA	\$563,919	\$432,897	76.77
CERRITOS	\$2,126,044	\$1,573,826	74.03
HANFORD	\$446,710	\$326,310	73.05
CONCORD	\$2,176,732	\$1,550,301	71.22
OAKLEY	\$481,880	\$338,662	70.28
HUGHSON	\$133,400	\$92,321	69.21
SOUTH PASADENA	\$123,826	\$84,674	68.38
SANTA PAULA	\$603,375	\$406,599	67.39
CHINO	\$2,567,554	\$1,687,716	65.73
COTATI	\$326,785	\$211,065	64.59
WESTMINSTER	\$1,632,535	\$1,053,932	64.56
IMPERIAL CITY	\$556,508	\$355,682	63.91
SAN FERNANDO	\$1,147,887	\$728,827	63.49
CULVER CITY	\$3,391,257	\$2,132,492	62.88
SONORA	\$52,909	\$32,909	62.20
BIG BEAR LAKE	\$723,220	\$436,121	60.30
SANTEE	\$937,100	\$559,075	59.66
MENLO PARK	\$2,652,822	\$1,581,265	59.61
SAN CARLOS	\$566,213	\$336,847	59.49

03/15/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 3 of 12**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
LAKE FOREST	\$304,165	\$175,923	57.84
LOS GATOS	\$558,544	\$322,569	57.75
MORENO VALLEY	\$1,172,953	\$653,693	55.73
INDIO	\$2,302,169	\$1,244,122	54.04
ORANGE COVE	\$172,330	\$93,128	54.04
SANTA BARBARA CITY	\$1,560,475	\$836,038	53.58
SANGER	\$457,530	\$243,990	53.33
VISTA	\$1,806,374	\$961,506	53.23
MARCH AIRFORCE BASE	\$165,825	\$82,880	49.98
HEMET	\$2,176,095	\$1,068,195	49.09
WHITTIER	\$376,960	\$184,918	49.06
MONTEREY PARK	\$1,648,534	\$805,427	48.86
EL MONTE	\$2,107,591	\$1,005,905	47.73
LA PALMA	\$588,210	\$278,062	47.27
PASO ROBLES	\$561,961	\$261,961	46.62
SIMI VALLEY	\$4,073,927	\$1,893,747	46.48
ANDERSON	\$131,624	\$60,942	46.30
BALDWIN PARK	\$213,037	\$98,158	46.08
SHAFTER	\$871,419	\$400,946	46.01
TEMECULA	\$1,624,597	\$747,337	46.00
CALEXICO	\$1,241,906	\$570,383	45.93
LINCOLN	\$301,552	\$136,966	45.42
PASADENA	\$3,810,724	\$1,724,381	45.25
UPLAND	\$2,847,035	\$1,257,319	44.16
LA VERNE	\$1,769,436	\$781,386	44.16
TULARE CITY	\$189,573	\$82,619	43.58
RIPON	\$535,842	\$232,758	43.44
CERES	\$490,656	\$209,856	42.77
DAVIS	\$1,004,034	\$426,744	42.50
ALHAMBRA	\$1,052,597	\$446,715	42.44
REDWOOD CITY	\$1,754,210	\$743,966	42.41

03/15/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 4 of 12**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
RANCHO PALOS VERDES	\$740,901	\$305,371	41.22
SAN BRUNO	\$1,151,754	\$470,714	40.87
SONOMA COUNTY	\$471,029	\$191,257	40.60
CAMPBELL	\$513,201	\$205,753	40.09
DESERT HOT SPRINGS	\$1,230,425	\$493,244	40.09
PALMDALE	\$6,303,527	\$2,521,031	39.99
SONOMA CITY	\$973,672	\$387,618	39.81
REDONDO BEACH	\$987,671	\$392,631	39.75
BRENTWOOD	\$327,157	\$127,739	39.05
ROHNERT PARK	\$2,886,794	\$1,124,833	38.96
CHULA VISTA	\$1,190,530	\$462,131	38.82
SEBASTOPOL	\$161,710	\$61,952	38.31
WINTERS	\$720,648	\$273,328	37.93
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	\$563,123	\$210,131	37.32
HIGHLAND	\$2,764,342	\$1,030,734	37.29
FIREBAUGH	\$424,982	\$151,838	35.73
SANTA CLARITA	\$527,051	\$185,253	35.15
NEWMAN	\$84,296	\$29,336	34.80
PORT HUENEME	\$1,785,998	\$616,083	34.50
LAKEWOOD	\$1,125,425	\$387,311	34.41
TEHACHAPI	\$330,505	\$113,319	34.29
WILLITS	\$191,592	\$65,527	34.20
RIDGECREST	\$960,997	\$322,130	33.52
SHASTA LAKE	\$1,487,738	\$498,284	33.49
HAWAIIAN GARDENS	\$433,063	\$144,328	33.33
AGOURA HILLS	\$1,049,640	\$348,413	33.19
SAN RAMON	\$4,184,334	\$1,377,952	32.93
VISALIA	\$1,097,129	\$360,826	32.89
BELL	\$503,566	\$161,313	32.03
STANTON	\$648,230	\$205,658	31.73
COVINA	\$2,204,424	\$699,356	31.73

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
BRISBANE	\$312,079	\$98,965	31.71
LOS BANOS	\$284,423	\$89,835	31.58
LIVERMORE	\$1,093,666	\$343,816	31.44
LA HABRA	\$911,674	\$280,651	30.78
TURLOCK	\$1,600,699	\$491,876	30.73
SAN MATEO CITY	\$3,473,145	\$1,065,778	30.69
GLENDORA	\$1,297,321	\$383,128	29.53
ONTARIO	\$7,196,690	\$2,114,076	29.38
GARDEN GROVE	\$1,374,169	\$402,989	29.33
MONTEREY COUNTY	\$1,259,703	\$361,535	28.70
COSTA MESA	\$1,129,420	\$322,790	28.58
FOLSOM	\$1,190,636	\$340,067	28.56
PERRIS	\$2,643,713	\$739,707	27.98
LINDSAY	\$402,686	\$109,890	27.29
GRASS VALLEY	\$445,617	\$120,617	27.07
EXETER	\$154,502	\$41,724	27.01
THOUSAND OAKS	\$3,217,421	\$865,825	26.91
CAPITOLA	\$1,046,137	\$280,755	26.84
SAN RAFAEL	\$1,301,888	\$347,004	26.65
CITRUS HEIGHTS	\$317,705	\$83,976	26.43
PALM SPRINGS	\$1,577,564	\$404,774	25.66
RICHMOND	\$9,546,791	\$2,369,114	24.82
UNION CITY	\$3,034,136	\$742,288	24.46
SUISUN CITY	\$1,891,541	\$458,046	24.22
SANTA ANA	\$10,775,248	\$2,604,305	24.17
ARROYO GRANDE	\$198,634	\$47,780	24.05
SAN JACINTO	\$1,235,267	\$296,223	23.98
MILPITAS	\$6,714,568	\$1,604,742	23.90
MARINA	\$1,793,019	\$422,910	23.59
GUADALUPE	\$926,784	\$215,151	23.21
POWAY	\$2,422,737	\$559,126	23.08

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
PLEASANT HILL	\$1,254,708	\$289,408	23.07
WEST COVINA	\$6,813,944	\$1,567,806	23.01
COACHELLA	\$3,001,954	\$681,678	22.71
BREA	\$5,199,927	\$1,172,014	22.54
EL CENTRO	\$1,869,764	\$416,661	22.28
CLOVIS	\$2,018,713	\$449,687	22.28
PISMO BEACH	\$641,604	\$141,255	22.02
MERCED CITY	\$1,617,701	\$342,137	21.15
CATHEDRAL CITY	\$7,008,280	\$1,470,495	20.98
CORONA	\$4,189,754	\$873,836	20.86
FILLMORE	\$2,140,571	\$445,511	20.81
LA MIRADA	\$2,310,975	\$480,118	20.78
APPLE VALLEY	\$369,696	\$76,316	20.64
VACAVILLE	\$5,284,824	\$1,076,463	20.37
PINOLE	\$2,395,578	\$483,572	20.19
WINDSOR/SONOMA	\$672,712	\$135,461	20.14
GONZALES	\$274,664	\$53,526	19.49
CALIFORNIA CITY	\$353,161	\$68,269	19.33
OAKLAND	\$31,798,112	\$6,033,898	18.98
NORCO	\$2,575,999	\$488,733	18.97
BANNING	\$815,648	\$153,083	18.77
INGLEWOOD	\$2,840,803	\$531,344	18.70
PLACER COUNTY	\$3,549,552	\$661,222	18.63
WATSONVILLE	\$1,046,157	\$193,929	18.54
HAYWARD	\$1,691,681	\$312,702	18.48
LONG BEACH	\$24,288,772	\$4,469,641	18.40
VALLEJO	\$980,332	\$179,499	18.31
SOLEDAD	\$459,185	\$83,549	18.20
HERCULES	\$5,924,554	\$1,061,742	17.92
FAIRFIELD	\$10,210,458	\$1,804,958	17.68
NOVATO	\$2,920,411	\$513,263	17.58

03/15/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 7 of 12**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
PARADISE	\$498,754	\$87,516	17.55
NATIONAL CITY	\$1,131,792	\$197,111	17.42
DIXON	\$845,996	\$146,254	17.29
MONTEREY CITY	\$3,390,008	\$585,354	17.27
ANAHEIM	\$14,896,000	\$2,558,000	17.17
MONTCLAIR	\$1,730,432	\$288,032	16.65
IRWINDALE	\$3,485,463	\$549,574	15.77
FOSTER CITY	\$3,792,451	\$591,219	15.59
SAN JOSE	\$95,744,738	\$14,885,827	15.55
MOORPARK	\$2,063,836	\$319,485	15.48
SEASIDE	\$1,921,787	\$295,323	15.37
RIVERSIDE CITY	\$18,178,706	\$2,792,345	15.36
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	\$6,125,027	\$933,581	15.24
INDIAN WELLS	\$8,995,766	\$1,364,582	15.17
LOMA LINDA	\$7,047,476	\$1,067,662	15.15
VICTORVILLE	\$2,019,995	\$304,516	15.08
EUREKA	\$2,461,830	\$357,528	14.52
COMPTON	\$6,145,932	\$888,309	14.45
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	\$2,740,380	\$394,347	14.39
ORANGE CITY	\$6,808,119	\$961,825	14.13
OROVILLE	\$1,637,380	\$229,927	14.04
GRAND TERRACE	\$4,155,751	\$575,837	13.86
CHICO	\$4,394,273	\$608,585	13.85
WEST SACRAMENTO	\$9,278,731	\$1,275,900	13.75
MORGAN HILL	\$10,925,027	\$1,444,702	13.22
SAN LEANDRO	\$4,864,189	\$637,432	13.10
SANTA FE SPRINGS	\$4,329,480	\$565,499	13.06
ROCKLIN	\$5,403,009	\$693,825	12.84
EL CAJON	\$4,648,733	\$596,935	12.84
WESTMORLAND	\$21,933	\$2,809	12.81
EMERYVILLE	\$5,596,585	\$714,508	12.77

03/15/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 8 of 12**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
OAKDALE	\$946,611	\$119,338	12.61
ORANGE COUNTY	\$1,843,106	\$230,436	12.50
MONROVIA	\$8,043,072	\$983,647	12.23
FRESNO CITY	\$3,412,959	\$400,643	11.74
CLEARLAKE	\$1,774,601	\$208,296	11.74
SAN BERNARDINO CITY	\$12,746,705	\$1,483,095	11.64
NORWALK	\$954,973	\$109,786	11.50
BELMONT	\$3,571,684	\$410,409	11.49
REDLANDS	\$7,416,657	\$848,949	11.45
UKIAH	\$1,571,764	\$179,561	11.42
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	\$33,701,806	\$3,785,201	11.23
PALM DESERT	\$23,343,744	\$2,562,483	10.98
IMPERIAL BEACH	\$2,596,663	\$280,603	10.81
ROSEVILLE	\$1,275,863	\$137,594	10.78
REDDING	\$6,870,914	\$739,561	10.76
BURBANK	\$12,820,086	\$1,354,706	10.57
SIGNAL HILL	\$1,784,103	\$187,298	10.50
STOCKTON	\$5,186,394	\$542,150	10.45
GREENFIELD	\$1,449,974	\$149,459	10.31
PARLIER	\$1,626,308	\$165,993	10.21
LYNWOOD	\$4,972,565	\$507,042	10.20
LANCASTER	\$32,816,182	\$3,313,132	10.10
TAFT	\$80,468	\$7,924	9.85
WEST HOLLYWOOD	\$264,314	\$25,797	9.76
LOS ANGELES CITY	\$86,298,000	\$8,213,000	9.52
TUSTIN	\$3,483,106	\$331,172	9.51
DINUBA	\$1,618,299	\$153,659	9.50
CARSON	\$15,670,697	\$1,471,104	9.39
HEALDSBURG	\$5,646,301	\$527,279	9.34
MAYWOOD	\$845,058	\$77,548	9.18
COLTON	\$4,133,697	\$376,753	9.11



**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
CLOVERDALE	\$3,881,014	\$352,682	9.09
SAN DIEGO COUNTY	\$101,071	\$8,870	8.78
COALINGA	\$461,259	\$39,798	8.63
PICO RIVERA	\$732,092	\$60,662	8.29
EAST PALO ALTO	\$637,105	\$51,881	8.14
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	\$17,548,161	\$1,410,240	8.04
CLAREMONT	\$3,213,776	\$235,106	7.32
GLENDALE	\$19,329,426	\$1,401,331	7.25
CORONADO	\$3,653,847	\$259,397	7.10
SALINAS	\$4,155,944	\$290,576	6.99
BELLFLOWER	\$1,320,360	\$91,405	6.92
ALAMEDA CITY	\$4,960,207	\$342,938	6.91
SAN DIMAS	\$3,115,682	\$210,565	6.76
FORTUNA	\$180,793	\$12,118	6.70
LAKE COUNTY	\$385,675	\$25,676	6.66
DOWNEY	\$1,568,199	\$101,499	6.47
PETALUMA	\$5,475,961	\$348,765	6.37
RIALTO	\$9,465,158	\$597,304	6.31
MURRIETA	\$6,121,236	\$384,706	6.28
LA OUINTA	\$29,744,309	\$1,851,834	6.23
PLACENTIA	\$2,127,149	\$129,498	6.09
SANTA CLARA CITY	\$7,967,347	\$469,163	5.89
PARAMOUNT	\$4,104,171	\$236,764	5.77
VENTURA COUNTY	\$119,513	\$6,729	5.63
LEMOORE	\$3,192,198	\$179,326	5.62
ANTIOCH	\$1,979,811	\$110,800	5.60
ESCONDIDO	\$6,060,268	\$336,362	5.55
TEMPLE CITY	\$473,666	\$25,917	5.47
MILLBRAE	\$2,990,935	\$163,514	5.47
YUCCA VALLEY	\$90,339	\$4,849	5.37
SOUTH EL MONTE	\$4,255,991	\$225,880	5.31

03/15/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 10 of 12**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
DUARTE	\$3,805,442	\$201,741	5.30
STANISLAUS-CERES	\$139,032	\$7,198	5.18
SANTA CRUZ CITY	\$3,808,263	\$193,500	5.08
FREMONT	\$21,874,591	\$1,087,052	4.97
WALNUT CREEK	\$1,489,254	\$73,042	4.90
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	\$7,987,260	\$388,712	4.87
MADERA CITY	\$7,211,517	\$341,797	4.74
SCOTTS VALLEY	\$4,025,055	\$190,581	4.73
SAN FRANCISCO	\$142,531,913	\$6,654,553	4.67
VICTOR VALLEY	\$8,428,889	\$391,510	4.64
EL CERRITO	\$8,453,445	\$387,535	4.58
HUNTINGTON BEACH	\$8,378,655	\$377,552	4.51
SAN DIEGO CITY	\$57,265,780	\$2,538,663	4.43
CLAYTON	\$1,015,141	\$43,625	4.30
SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO	\$4,629,243	\$198,600	4.29
BUENA PARK	\$9,171,109	\$384,788	4.20
MANTECA	\$1,575,598	\$65,496	4.16
PORTERVILLE	\$1,297,900	\$53,040	4.09
ALAMEDA COUNTY	\$4,977,068	\$184,132	3.70
BARSTOW	\$1,059,280	\$39,060	3.69
CHOWCHILLA	\$972,952	\$34,989	3.60
OCEANSIDE	\$5,808,540	\$208,540	3.59
MONTEBELLO	\$1,744,960	\$61,230	3.51
RIVERBANK	\$828,330	\$28,330	3.42
COMMERCE	\$1,939,150	\$63,726	3.29
WOODLAKE	\$479,086	\$15,079	3.15
GOLETA	\$3,203,389	\$98,483	3.07
SACRAMENTO CITY	\$31,805,985	\$943,627	2.97
CAMARILLO	\$659,034	\$18,934	2.87
HESPERIA	\$11,110,649	\$315,248	2.84
SANTA ROSA	\$9,999,676	\$283,445	2.83

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
YUBA CITY	\$1,347,590	\$37,739	2.80
LAFAYETTE	\$2,952,929	\$82,053	2.78
CYPRESS	\$6,085,360	\$165,810	2.72
TRACY	\$4,879,834	\$130,116	2.67
LA MESA	\$2,263,196	\$57,254	2.53
AZUSA	\$9,256,830	\$221,192	2.39
FONTANA	\$23,979,422	\$566,351	2.36
BAKERSFIELD	\$1,738,747	\$38,942	2.24
GROVER BEACH	\$930,411	\$19,318	2.08
MODESTO	\$5,642,503	\$115,601	2.05
ATWATER	\$4,165,078	\$83,731	2.01
SAN MARCOS	\$41,237,447	\$822,121	1.99
PITTSBURG	\$9,100,118	\$165,480	1.82
LAWNDALE	\$809,702	\$13,997	1.73
ARCATA	\$1,501,403	\$24,863	1.66
CUDAHY	\$2,372,222	\$36,300	1.53
RANCHO CUCAMONGA	\$42,868,015	\$590,700	1.38
SELMA	\$372,002	\$4,736	1.27
HAWTHORNE	\$3,876,136	\$47,901	1.24
TORRANCE	\$389,513	\$4,750	1.22
POMONA	\$7,634,390	\$91,808	1.20
RANCHO MIRAGE	\$11,182,529	\$122,176	1.09
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	\$3,298,796	\$25,239	0.77
INLAND VALLEY	\$6,475,005	\$42,773	0.66
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	\$4,466,321	\$28,587	0.64
AVALON	\$3,389,374	\$18,187	0.54
DANVILLE	\$785,675	\$4,000	0.51
LEMON GROVE	\$4,493,061	\$19,533	0.43
WALNUT	\$556,743	\$1,087	0.20
SANTA MONICA	\$36,514,450	\$34,584	0.09
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	\$5,824,896	\$4,423	0.08

03/15/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2008/2009**  
**Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses**  
**Listed in Order of Highest Percentage**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 12 of 12**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenditures</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
INDUSTRY	\$18,715,811	\$0	0.00
SAN PABLO	\$6,156,620	\$0	0.00
BLYTHE	\$3,390,968	\$0	0.00
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	\$2,010,846	\$0	0.00
SOUTH GATE	\$1,358,179	\$0	0.00
LAKE ELSINORE	\$1,044,957	\$0	0.00
NAPA CITY	\$966,775	\$0	0.00
BEAUMONT	\$782,100	\$0	0.00
STANISLAUS COUNTY	\$616,146	\$0	0.00
SEAL BEACH	\$441,385	\$0	0.00
MARIN COUNTY	\$346,168	\$0	0.00
BERKELEY	\$298,395	\$0	0.00
FOWLER	\$269,407	\$0	0.00
ROSEMEAD	\$256,220	\$0	0.00
ARVIN	\$223,465	\$0	0.00
AVENAL	\$217,984	\$0	0.00
DALY CITY	\$144,376	\$0	0.00
HURON	\$105,071	\$0	0.00
HOLTVILLE	\$59,059	\$0	0.00
PACIFICA	\$17,930	\$0	0.00
AUBURN	\$6,546	\$0	0.00
<b>Statewide Totals:</b>	<b>\$1,655,242,368</b>	<b>\$198,750,517</b>	<b>12.01 %</b>
<b>Total Agencies Statewide Contributing to this report: 362</b>			